IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS

CHRISTOPHER DAVID BROWN,	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	Case No. 21-3105-JAR-GEB
DANIEL L. SCHNURR, et al.,	
Defendants.	
)	

ORDER

Plaintiff filed this *pro se* civil rights case under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The Court granted Plaintiff leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* (ECF No. 4.) This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's **Motion Requesting the Court Issue Plaintiff's Remaining Filing Fee Cost in the Form of a Bill (ECF No. 39).**

Plaintiff asks the Court to send him a bill for the balance of the filing fee that remains unpaid in this case, so that he may pay the balance in a lump-sum payment. Plaintiff indicates he has unsuccessfully attempted to obtain information regarding the remaining balance from officials at his facility. Plaintiff asks the Court to bill him to enable him to determine the unpaid balance.

Prisoners are required to pay the entire filing fee despite leave to proceed *in forma* pauperis.¹ "After payment of the initial partial filing fee, the prisoner shall be required to make monthly payments of 20 percent of the preceding month's income credited to the

_

¹ 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1).

prisoner's account."2 However, the Court does not bill for these filing fee

installments. Rather, under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2), "[t]he agency having custody of the

prisoner shall forward payments from the prisoner's account to the clerk of the court each

time the amount in the account exceeds \$10 until the fee is paid." If Plaintiff desires to

make a payment in addition to the statutorily-required installment, he should contact the

finance department at his institution to inquire about authorizing such a

payment. Plaintiff's motion is therefore denied.

However, the Court will inform Plaintiff of his remaining balance. The Court's

records show that Plaintiff has an unpaid balance of \$49.14 for Case No. 16-3132, and an

unpaid balance of \$350.00 for his current Case No. 21-3105. It is the Court's practice to

apply monthly payments received from prisoners to their oldest cases first. Therefore,

payments received by the Court are applied first to the older case until it is paid in full.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED Plaintiff's Motion Requesting the Court Issue

Plaintiff's Remaining Filing Fee Cost in the Form of a Bill (ECF No. 39) is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated July 7, 2022, in Wichita, Kansas.

s/ Gwynne E. Birzer

GWYNNE E. BIRZER

U. S. Magistrate Judge

 2 *Id.* at (b)(2).

 3 Id.

2