IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS

JUSTIN CARTER, derivatively and on behalf of MGP INGREDIENTS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 20-2281-DDC-JPO

AUGUSTUS C. GRIFFIN, et al.,

Defendants,

and

MGP INGREDIENTS, INC.,

Nominal Defendant.

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter comes before the court on the parties' Joint Motion to Dismiss (Doc. 20). On November 9, 2021, the court entered an Order (Doc. 19) granting defendants' Motion to Dismiss in part and denying the motion in part. Specifically, the court granted the Motion to Dismiss plaintiff's federal claims. But, the court denied the motion as it applied to plaintiff's state law claims. And, the court stayed the case pending the Kansas Supreme Court's review of *Herington v. City of Wichita*, 479 P.3d 482 (Kan. Ct. App. 2020).

On December 17, 2021, the Kansas Supreme Court issued a decision in *Herington*. *See Herington v. City of Wichita*, __ P.3d __, 2021 WL 5990322 (Kan. Dec. 17, 2021). It held that when "a federal court declines to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over state law claims and dismisses those claims without prejudice," that dismissal is not a "final judgment on those state

law claims" and "the Kansas common law doctrine of res judicata does not preclude a litigant

from bringing those claims in state court." *Id.* at *10.

Consistent with the Kansas Supreme Court's decision in *Herington*, the parties agree: the

court should dismiss plaintiff's "remaining, state claims, without prejudice to their refiling in

state court, and with each party to bear its own costs." Doc. 20 at 2. The court grants the

parties' request. Thus, the court dismisses plaintiff's state law claims without prejudice. And,

the court directs the Clerk of the Court to enter Judgment consistent with this Order and the

court's November 9, 2021 Order (Doc. 19). That is, the court directs the Clerk of the Court to

enter Judgment (1) dismissing plaintiff's federal claims with prejudice consistent with the

court's November 9, 2021 Order (Doc. 19), and (2) dismissing plaintiff's state law claims

without prejudice because the court declines to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the state

law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED BY THE COURT THAT the parties' Joint Motion

to Dismiss (Doc. 20) is granted. The court dismisses plaintiff's state law claims without

prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 4th day of January, 2022, at Kansas City, Kansas.

<u>s/ Daniel D. Crabtree</u>

Daniel D. Crabtree

United States District Judge

2