## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS

## CHRISTOPHER COTY MAIER,

Plaintiff,

v.

**CASE NO. 19-3200-SAC** 

STATE OF KANSAS, et al.,

Defendants.

## ORDER

Plaintiff, Christopher Coty Maier, is a state prisoner housed at Lansing Correctional Facility in Lansing, Kansas. Plaintiff brings this civil rights case against the State of Kansas; the Douglas County Sheriff's Office; the Kansas Department of Corrections; Mind Dust Laboratories; Nanoscale Science Subcommittee; Signal Guard Nara; Machine Halo; Machine Eleves 101; Smart City; Defense Information Systems Agency; and the White House.

Plaintiff is subject to the "three-strikes" provision under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). Court records fully establish that Plaintiff "has, on 3 or more prior occasions, while incarcerated . . . , brought an action or appeal in a court of the United States that was dismissed on the grounds that it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted." Accordingly, he may proceed in forma pauperis only if he establishes a threat of imminent danger of serious physical injury. *Id.* The Court has examined the Complaint,<sup>2</sup> which is largely

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Prior to filing the instant complaint on October 4, 2019, the court finds at least three prior civil actions filed by Plaintiff which qualify as "strikes" under § 1915(g). *See Maier v. Kansas*, Case No. 17-3221 (Doc. 6); *Maier v. Kansas*, Case No. 17-3092 (Doc. 3); *Maier v. United States*, Case No. 17-3085 (Doc. 7); *Maier v. Pokorny*, Case No. 16-3235 (Doc. 12); *Maier v. Kansas*, Case No. 16-3219 (Doc. 31).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Court has also examined Plaintiff's Amicus Brief (Doc. 2) and Exhibit 1 in Support of Complaint (Doc. 5).

incomprehensible and appears to be legally frivolous, and finds no showing of imminent danger

of serious physical injury.

Accordingly, pursuant to § 1915(g) Plaintiff may not proceed in forma pauperis in this

civil action. Plaintiff is given time to pay the full \$400.00 district court filing fee<sup>3</sup> to the Court.

If he fails to pay the full fee within the prescribed time, the Complaint will be dismissed based

upon Plaintiff's failure to satisfy the statutory district court filing fee required by 28 U.S.C.

§ 1914.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED BY THE COURT that Plaintiff is granted until

October 31, 2019, to submit the \$400.00 filing fee. The failure to submit the fee by that date

will result in the dismissal of this matter without prejudice and without additional prior notice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated October 15, 2019, in Topeka, Kansas.

s/ Sam A. Crow

SAM A. CROW

U. S. Senior District Judge

<sup>3</sup> If a person is not granted in forma pauperis status under § 1915, the fee to file a non-habeas civil action includes the \$350.00 fee required by 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a) and a \$50.00 general administrative fee pursuant to § 1914(b) and the District Court Miscellaneous Fee Schedule prescribed by the Judicial Conference of the United States.

2