IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS

SCOTT DOUGLAS HINSHAW,

Plaintiff,

v.

CASE NO. 19-3057-SAC

KATE F. BAIRD, Deputy Disciplinary Administrator, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

Pro se Plaintiff Scott Douglas Hinshaw, a prisoner confined at the Ford County Jail in Dodge City, Kansas, brings this action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The Court entered an Order (Doc. 3) finding Plaintiff is subject to the "three-strikes" provision under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) and denying his motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. The Court examined the Complaint and attachments and found no showing of imminent danger of serious physical injury. The Court also granted Plaintiff until April 12, 2019, to submit the \$400.00 filing fee. The Court's order provided that "[t]he failure to submit the fee by that date will result in the dismissal of this matter without prejudice and without additional prior notice." (Doc. 3, at 2.) Plaintiff has failed to pay the filing fee by the deadline set forth in the order.

Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure "authorizes a district court, upon a defendant's motion, to order the dismissal of an action for failure to prosecute or for failure to comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or 'a court order.'" *Young v. U.S.*, 316 F. App'x 764, 771 (10th Cir. 2009) (citing Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b)). "This rule has been interpreted as permitting district courts to dismiss actions *sua sponte* when one of these conditions is met." *Id.*

(citing *Link v. Wabash R.R. Co.*, 370 U.S. 626, 630–31 (1962); *Olsen v. Mapes*, 333 F.3d 1199, 1204 n.3 (10th Cir. 2003)). "In addition, it is well established in this circuit that a district court is not obligated to follow any particular procedures when dismissing an action *without prejudice* under Rule 41(b)." *Young*, 316 F. App'x at 771–72 (citations omitted).

The time in which Plaintiff was required to submit the filing fee has passed without a response from Plaintiff. As a consequence, the Court dismisses this action without prejudice pursuant to Rule 41(b) for failure to comply with court orders.

IT IS THEREFORE BY THE COURT ORDERED that this action is **dismissed** without prejudice pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated on this 16th day of April, 2019, in Topeka, Kansas.

<u>s/ Sam A. Crow</u> SAM A. CROW U. S. Senior District Judge