## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff,	
VS.	Case No. 19-10137-02-EFM
ALFREDO REY,	
Defendant.	

## MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on pro se Defendant Alfredo Rey's Motion to Reduce Sentence (Doc. 416). He contends that he is entitled to a sentence reduction due to recent amendments to the United States Sentencing Guidelines. The government opposes Defendant's motion for sentence reduction. For the reasons stated in more detail below, the Court dismisses Defendant's motion.

On January 25, 2023, Defendant pleaded guilty to possession with intent to distribute methamphetamine, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1). On April 14, 2023, the Court sentenced Defendant to 168 months' imprisonment. On March 4, 2024, Defendant filed a Motion to Reduce Sentence, asserting that he was a zero-point offender and requesting a sentence reduction under Amendment 821.

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2), a defendant may file his own motion for a sentence reduction provided certain factors are met.<sup>1</sup> Specifically, § 3582(c)(2) allows a court to reduce a term of imprisonment "in the case of a defendant who has been sentenced to a term of imprisonment based on a sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered by the Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 994(o)" and after considering § 3553(a) factors so long as the reduction "is consistent with applicable policy statements."<sup>2</sup>

Effective November 1, 2023, the Sentencing Commission amended the United States Sentencing Guidelines.<sup>3</sup> Part A of Amendment 821 limits the criminal history impact of "status points," and subpart 1 of Part B of Amendment 821 creates a new guideline, § 4C1.1, that provides for a decrease of two offense levels for "Zero-Point Offenders."

Defendant is not a zero-point offender. Instead, his Presentence Investigation Report shows that Defendant's criminal history score is one. Thus, he is ineligible for a reduction in sentence under Amendment 821. Accordingly, the Court dismisses Defendant's motion.<sup>5</sup>

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendant's Motion to Reduce Sentence (Doc. 416) is DISMISSED.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See 88 Fed. Reg. 28,254, 2023 WL 3199918 (May 3, 2023).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See https://www.ussc.gov/guidelines/amendment/821 (last visited April 3, 2024); see also U.S.S.G. § 4A1.1; § 4C1.1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See United States v. White, 765 F.3d 1240, 1250 (10th Cir. 2014) (stating that a district court should dismiss for lack of jurisdiction if a defendant is ineligible for a sentence reduction under § 3582(c)(2)).

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 4th day of April, 2024.

ERIC F. MELGREN

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Frie 7 Milgren