

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS**

MICHAEL D. BENSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

STATE OF KANSAS, et al.,

CASE NO. 18-3237-SAC

Defendants.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

Plaintiff filed this *pro se* civil rights case under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff filed a motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. (Doc. 4.) On September 20, 2018, the Court entered a Notice of Deficiency (Doc. 6) granting Plaintiff until October 22, 2018, to provide the financial information required to support his motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2). Plaintiff has not provided the financial information by the deadline. The Court's order was mailed to Plaintiff at his current address of record and was returned as undeliverable, with a notation that Plaintiff was no longer at the facility. (Doc. 7.) The Court's Local Rules provide that "[e]ach attorney or pro se party must notify the clerk in writing of any change of address or telephone number. Any notice mailed to the last address of record of an attorney or pro se party is sufficient notice." D. Kan. Rule 5.1(c)(3). Plaintiff has failed to provide the Court with a Notice of Change of Address.

Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure "authorizes a district court, upon a defendant's motion, to order the dismissal of an action for failure to prosecute or for failure to comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or 'a court order.'" *Young v. U.S.*, 316 F. App'x 764, 771 (10th Cir. 2009) (citing Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b)). "This rule has been interpreted as

permitting district courts to dismiss actions *sua sponte* when one of these conditions is met.” *Id.* (citing *Link v. Wabash R.R. Co.*, 370 U.S. 626, 630–31 (1962); *Olsen v. Mapes*, 333 F.3d 1199, 1204 n.3 (10th Cir. 2003)). “In addition, it is well established in this circuit that a district court is not obligated to follow any particular procedures when dismissing an action *without prejudice* under Rule 41(b).” *Young*, 316 F. App’x at 771–72 (citations omitted).

Plaintiff has failed to provide the Court with a Notice of Change of Address and failed to file a response to the Court’s Notice of Deficiency within the allowed time. Therefore, Plaintiff should show cause why this case should not be dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Rule 41(b).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT Plaintiff is granted until **November 16, 2018**, in which to show good cause, in writing, to the Honorable Sam A. Crow, United States District Judge, why this action should not be dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Rule 41(b) for failure to comply with court orders.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated in Topeka, Kansas, on this 30th day of October, 2018.

s/ Sam A. Crow
Sam A. Crow
U.S. Senior District Judge