## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS

DUSTIN D. COFFMAN,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	)	Case No. 17-4070-SAC-GEB
HUTCHINSON COMMUNITY COLLEGE et al.,	GE, ) )	
Defendants.	)	

## **NOTICE AND ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE**

On September 22, 2017, U.S. Senior District Judge Sam A. Crow issued a Memorandum and Order in this action. Among other rulings, Judge Crow found that the individual defendants had not been properly served with process (ECF No. 28, at 7, 10). In addition to this finding, Judge Crow explained, at length, the law regarding proper service of individuals (see Order, ECF No. 28, at 6-7).

Despite those findings and instructions, a review of the docket reveals Plaintiff has not requested issuance of summons in order to properly serve the individual defendants. His most recent filing (ECF No. 36) suggests he sent notices and waivers of service to the individual defendants at their business addresses, but the waivers were not returned. If these defendants refuse to waive service, as is apparent here, it is Plaintiff's duty to serve

them with a summons under Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(e). And, under Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m),

Plaintiff has only 90 days from the filing of his Complaint in which to do so.<sup>1</sup>

Both the law, and Judge Crow's recent order, are clear regarding proper service of

the summons and Complaint upon individuals. Generally, if serving the individual

defendants under Kansas law, each defendant must be personally served or served by

certified mail, return receipt delivery to his or her home.<sup>2</sup> But "service upon an

individual at his place of business without first attempting to serve him at his dwelling

place does not constitute substantial compliance under Kansas law."<sup>3</sup>

In consideration of the above, the Court orders Plaintiff to show cause in writing

to the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge, on or before November 6, 2017, why

she should not recommend to the District Judge that his claims against the five individual

defendants be dismissed with prejudice for lack of prosecution under Fed. R. Civ. P.

41(b) for his failure to serve the individual defendants as required by law. This Notice

and Order to Show Cause shall be mailed to Plaintiff by certified mail, return receipt

delivery.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated at Wichita, Kansas this 23rd day of October 2017.

s/ Gwynne E. Birzer

GWYNNE E. BIRZER

United States Magistrate Judge

<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff filed his Complaint on August 7, 2017, making his deadline for service November 6, 2017.

<sup>2</sup> See Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(e); K.S.A. §§ 60-303, -304(a).

<sup>3</sup> Schwab v. State of Kansas, 2016 WL 4039613, at \*4 (D. Kan. Jul. 28, 2016), appeal dismissed,

No. 16-3295 (10th Cir. Oct. 14, 2016).

2