IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS

THADDEUS JONES,

Plaintiff,

v.

CASE NO. 17-3083-SAC-DJW

WICHITA DETENTION CENTER, et al.,

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

Plaintiff brings this *pro se* civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff is a pretrial detainee at the Sedgwick County Detention Facility in Wichita, Kansas ("SCDF"). Plaintiff's Complaint alleges two incidents of excessive force. This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion to Appoint Counsel (Doc. 3).

Plaintiff filed a motion for appointment of counsel, alleging that he is indigent, the issues involved in this case are complex, the case will involve credibility issues, and Plaintiff has limited knowledge of the law.

The Court has considered Plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel. There is no constitutional right to appointment of counsel in a civil case. *Durre v. Dempsey*, 869 F.2d 543, 547 (10th Cir. 1989); *Carper v. DeLand*, 54 F.3d 613, 616 (10th Cir. 1995). The decision whether to appoint counsel in a civil matter lies in the discretion of the district court. *Williams v. Meese*, 926 F.2d 994, 996 (10th Cir. 1991). "The burden is on the applicant to convince the court that there is sufficient merit to his claim to warrant the appointment of counsel." *Steffey v. Orman*, 461 F.3d 1218, 1223 (10th Cir. 2006) (quoting *Hill v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.*, 393 F.3d 1111, 1115 (10th Cir. 2004)). It is not enough "that having counsel appointed would have

assisted [the prisoner] in presenting his strongest possible case, [as] the same could be said in

any case." Steffey, 461 F.3d at 1223 (quoting Rucks v. Boergermann, 57 F.3d 978, 979 (10th Cir.

1995)).

In deciding whether to appoint counsel, courts must evaluate "the merits of a prisoner's

claims, the nature and complexity of the factual and legal issues, and the prisoner's ability to

investigate the facts and present his claims." Hill, 393 F.3d at 1115 (citing Rucks, 57 F.3d at

979). The Court concludes in this case that (1) it is not clear at this juncture that Plaintiff has

asserted a colorable claim against a named defendant; (2) the issues are not complex; and (3)

Plaintiff appears capable of adequately presenting facts and arguments. The Court denies the

motion without prejudice to refiling the motion if Plaintiff's Complaint survives screening.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED BY THE COURT that Plaintiff's Motion to Appoint

Counsel (Doc. 3) is denied without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated on this 20th day of October, 2017, in Topeka, Kansas.

s/ Sam A. Crow

SAM A. CROW

U. S. Senior District Judge

2