

¹ Intervenor seeks “intervention as of right.” Doc. #74. Thus, the Court does not need to consider whether it would grant permissive intervention under Rule 24(b), Fed. R. Civ. P. Nevertheless, the Court denies permissive intervention because intervenor has not established that he has an interest in this action.

contained personal information. The putative intervenor's notice does not claim that he worked for or applied for a job with HomeAdvisor between January 13, 2013 and June 10, 2015 – the class period. The Court rejects his unsupported, conclusory claim that “he has a legally protectable interest in this action.” Doc. #74. Further, the notice does not attempt to establish that any interest will be impaired or impeded by this action or that the existing parties do not adequately represent his interest. Thus, the Court strikes the notice to intervene.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that intervenor's Notice (Motion) To Intervene As Of Right (Fed R. Civ. P. 24) And Claim (Doc. #74) filed August 10, 2017 be and hereby is **STRICKEN**.

Dated this 25th day of September, 2017 at Kansas City, Kansas.

s/ Kathryn H. Vratil
Kathryn H. Vratil
United States District Judge