NATIONAL CREDIT UNION AD	MINISTRATION	•		
BOARD, etc.,		:		
	Plaintiff,	:	13cv6705 (DLC)	
-v-	,	:	13cv6719 (DLC)	
		:	13cv6721 (DLC)	
MORGAN STANLEY & CO., et	al.,	:	13cv6726 (DLC)	
		:	13cv6727 (DLC)	
	Defendants.	:	13cv6731 (DLC)	
		:	13cv6736 (DLC)	
And other NCUA Actions.		:		
			DOCUMENT	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT C DISTRICT OF KANSAS			DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FI DOC #: DATE FILED:7/10/1	
DISTRICT OF KANSAS			ELECTRONICALLY F	
DISTRICT OF KANSAS	DMINISTRATION	:	ELECTRONICALLY F DOC #: DATE FILED:7/10/1	15
DISTRICT OF KANSAS		:	ELECTRONICALLY F DOC #: DATE FILED:7/10/1 11cv2340 (JWL)	15
DISTRICT OF KANSAS NATIONAL CREDIT UNION AD BOARD, etc.,	DMINISTRATION	:	ELECTRONICALLY F DOC #: DATE FILED: 7/10/1 11cv2340 (JWL) 11cv2649 (JWL)	15
DISTRICT OF KANSAS NATIONAL CREDIT UNION AD BOARD, etc., -v-	DMINISTRATION Plaintiff,	: : : : :	ELECTRONICALLY F DOC #: DATE FILED:7/10/1 11cv2340 (JWL)	15
DISTRICT OF KANSAS NATIONAL CREDIT UNION AD BOARD, etc., -v-	MINISTRATION Plaintiff, 'k/a GREENWICH	: : : : :	ELECTRONICALLY F DOC #: DATE FILED: 7/10/1 11cv2340 (JWL) 11cv2649 (JWL) 12cv2591 (JWL)	15
DISTRICT OF KANSAS NATIONAL CREDIT UNION AD BOARD, etc., -v- RBS SECURITIES, INC., f/	MINISTRATION Plaintiff, 'k/a GREENWICH	: : : : :	ELECTRONICALLY F DOC #: DATE FILED: 7/10/1 11cv2340 (JWL) 11cv2649 (JWL) 12cv2591 (JWL) 12cv2631 (JWL)	15
DISTRICT OF KANSAS NATIONAL CREDIT UNION AD BOARD, etc., -v- RBS SECURITIES, INC., f/	MINISTRATION Plaintiff, 'k/a GREENWICH	: : : : :	ELECTRONICALLY F DOC #: DATE FILED: 7/10/1 11cv2340 (JWL) 11cv2649 (JWL) 12cv2591 (JWL) 12cv2631 (JWL) 12cv2648 (JWL)	15
DISTRICT OF KANSAS NATIONAL CREDIT UNION AD BOARD, etc., -v- RBS SECURITIES, INC., f/	OMINISTRATION Plaintiff, K/a GREENWICH et al.,	: : : : :	ELECTRONICALLY F DOC #: DATE FILED: 7/10/1 11cv2340 (JWL) 11cv2649 (JWL) 12cv2591 (JWL) 12cv2631 (JWL) 12cv2648 (JWL)	15

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WESTERN DIVISION	72		
NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION	·		
	•		
BOARD, etc.,	•		
Plaintiff,	•		
-V-	:		
	:	11cv5887	(GW)
RBS SECURITIES, INC., f/k/a GREENWICH	:	11cv6521	(GW)
CAPITAL MARKETS, INC., et al.,	:		
	:		
Defendants.	:	ORDER	
	:		
And other NCUA Actions.	:		
	X		

HON. DENISE COTE, HON. JOHN W. LUNGSTRUM, and HON. GEORGE H. WU, District Judges; and HON. JAMES P. O'HARA, Magistrate Judge:

With limited exceptions, fact discovery in these actions closes on July 17, 2015. Through its letter of July 7, Nomura Asset Acceptance Corporation and Nomura Home Equity Loan, Inc. (together, "Nomura") seek an extension of the fact discovery cut-off date to July 31, 2015, for the purpose of obtaining document discovery and a deposition from third-party CoreLogic, Inc. ("CoreLogic"), or for an order compelling CoreLogic to produce documents and to testify at a deposition on or before July 17.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b)(4) provides that "[a] schedule may be modified only for good cause and with the judge's consent." Whether good cause exists depends upon the diligence of the moving party. <u>See, e.g.</u>, <u>Gorsuch, Ltd., B.C.</u> v. Wells Fargo Nat. Bank Ass'n, 771 F.3d 1230, 1240 (10th Cir.

2

2014); <u>In re W. States Wholesale Natural Gas Antitrust Litig.</u>, 715 F.3d 716, 737 (9th Cir. 2013); <u>Holmes v. Grubman</u>, 568 F.3d 329, 334-35 (2d Cir. 2009).

Through our Order of July 8, we required Nomura to provide the subpoenas, objections and correspondence related to this application, required it to confer with CoreLogic in an effort to resolve the dispute, and permitted CoreLogic to present its position to our Courts. Having reviewed the submissions from Nomura and CoreLogic, we find that Nomura has not shown good cause to extend the discovery deadline to obtain third-party discovery from CoreLogic. The demands, which were contained in defective subpoenas, were made just one month before the close of fact discovery, were presented to CoreLogic without prior coordination, were overly broad, and were burdensome. CoreLogic has shown that it cannot comply with those demands by July 17. Indeed, even though Nomura narrowed its requests, most recently on July 8, CoreLogic will not be able to produce all of the

3

documents responsive to the narrowed requests until August. Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED that Nomura's requests to extend the discovery cutoff date or to compel CoreLogic to respond before July 17, 2015 are denied.

Dated: July 10, 2015	/s/ Denise Cote
	United States District Judge
Dated: July 10, 2015	/s/ George H. Wu
	United States District Judge
Dated: July 10, 2015	/s/ John W. Lungstrum
	United States District Judge
Dated: <u>July 10, 2015</u>	/s/ James P. O'Hara
	United States Magistrate Judge