United States District Court

DISTRICT OF KANSAS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

٧.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

DENNIS ERICSON PORTILLO

Defendant

Case Number: 13-20033-06-KHV-DJW

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.

equ	ire ti	Part I - Findings of Fact	
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal offense) (sta or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is	te
		a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).	
		an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.	
		an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in	
		a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.	
_	(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.	l
_	(3)	A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) fo the offense described in finding (1).	r
_	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. Alternative Findings (A)	
_	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense	
		for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in	
		under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
_	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community. Alternative Findings (B)	
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	
_	(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.	
			_
orep		Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention d that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by (clear and convincing evidence) (a rance of the evidence) that	
		(See attached page)	
acil	The	Part III - Directions Regarding Detention defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections eparate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The	_

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: April 12, 2013

<u>s/ David J. Waxse</u>

<u>Signature of Judicial Officer</u>

DAVID J. WAXSE, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Name and Title of Judicial Officer

Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

The major problem with this kind of case is the uncertainty of knowing what I.C.E. is going to do in any particular case. The defendant has to respond to an I.C.E. detainer if I release him and then may or may not be released on bond.

The secondary problem with the defendant being illegal is that there is not any employment he can actually obtain and provide for his family legally. I understand that he has been employed, but that does not mean it was legal employment.

I do not find that there is any danger to the community here, but the question is whether the defendant will be available to proceed in this case if I give him conditions of release so I am going to order that he be detained.