

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS

DANIEL JOSEPH PARRISH,

Plaintiff,

v.

CASE NO. 12-3071-SAC

DOUGLAS WADDINGTON and
PAUL RUNNELS,

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

Plaintiff, a prisoner in state custody, brings a civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 in which he complains that he has been denied adequate legal access due to limited law library hours, and lack of access to a typewriter. He seeks a court order allowing him 24-hour access to his typewriter.

The Prison Litigation Reform Act substantially altered the manner in which indigent prisoners may proceed in the United States District Courts. Significant to the present case, §1915(g) provides that:

"In no event shall a prisoner bring a civil action or appeal a judgment in a civil action or proceeding under this section if the prisoner has, on 3 or more prior occasions, while incarcerated or detained in any facility, brought an action or appeal in a court of the United States that was dismissed on the grounds that it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious physical injury." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

Court records in the District of Kansas reflect that plaintiff has filed more than thirty cases in this court, and that at least three of those cases were dismissed for failure to state a claim for

relief.¹

The court has examined the present complaint and finds no basis to conclude that plaintiff should be allowed to proceed in this matter without the prepayment of the full filing fee. Plaintiff's claims do not suggest that he is in imminent danger of serious physical harm. Accordingly, plaintiff may proceed in this action only if he pays the filing fee of \$350.00 that is charged for filing a civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

IT IS, THEREFORE, BY THE COURT ORDERED that plaintiff is granted to and including April 9, 2012, to submit the \$350.00 filing fee. The failure to pay the full filing fee by that time will result in the dismissal of this action without prejudice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED plaintiff's motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis (Doc. 2) is denied.

A copy of this order shall be transmitted to the plaintiff.

1 Plaintiff has filed cases under different names, including Daniel Joseph Kirwan, Michael Duane Pyle, Daniel Joseph Parrish, Daniel Parrado, and Daniel Parrish-Parrado. The cases identified as the basis of this order are Case No. 92-3357, *Kirwan v. Larned Mental Health*, 816 F. Supp. 672 (D. Kan. 1993) (dismissing as legally frivolous plaintiff's claims that officials' continued use of his former legal name, under which he was convicted, violated his constitutional rights); Case No. 91-3217, *Kirwan v. Huggins*, 1991 WL 158842 (dismissing as frivolous plaintiff's claim of excessive heat and lack of electric fan); and Case No. 88-3416, *Kirwan v. Appel*, 1988 WL 142902 (dismissing for failure to state a claim plaintiff's allegations of denial of use of a typewriter, writing table, and chair, and allegations that defendant corrections employees sabotaged a cell house and criminally defamed plaintiff).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated at Topeka, Kansas, this 3rd day of April, 2012.

S/ Sam A. Crow
SAM A. CROW
United States Senior District Judge