IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS

| CHRISTOPHER J. GILRET | ISTOPHER J. GILKE | Y. |
|-----------------------|-------------------|----|
|-----------------------|-------------------|----|

Plaintiff,

vs. Case No. 12-1150-EFM

PROTECTION ONE ALARM MONITORING, INC.,

Defendant.

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This Court previously granted Defendant's motions to dismiss and for summary judgment. Plaintiff appealed these decisions, and the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit affirmed those rulings. Following the appellate court's order, Plaintiff moved to reopen the case. The Court denied that motion. Plaintiff has now filed for reconsideration of the Court's order denying his motion to reopen (Doc. 42). This motion essentially is addressed by the same legal standards as his motion to reopen, and for the same reasons is denied. Plaintiff must accept that, whether or not he is satisfied with the result, this case is over. No further motions should be filed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration (Doc. 42) is hereby **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 5th day of November, 2013.

Sici 7 Milgren
ERIC F. MELGREN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE