

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	CRIMINAL ACTION
v.)	
)	No. 12-20083-11-KHV
PETER PARK,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

Defendant’s pro se letter (Doc. #1792) filed February 21, 2015, which the Court construes as a pro se motion for transcripts, is overruled because defendant is represented by counsel who did not sign the motion. See United States v. Sandoval-DeLao, 283 Fed. Appx. 621, 625 (10th Cir. 2008) (no error in refusal to consider pro se motion when defendant represented by counsel); United States v. Castellon, 218 Fed. Appx. 775, 780 (10th Cir. 2007) (if criminal defendant represented by counsel, court does not accept pro se filings or allegations); United States v. McKinley, 58 F.3d 1475, 1480 (10th Cir. 1995) (no constitutional right to “hybrid form of representation”).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 13th day of April, 2015 at Kansas City, Kansas.

s/ Kathryn H. Vratil
KATHRYN H. VRATIL
United States District Judge