AO 472 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial

		UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		
		V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
		DENNIS E. BOWEN	Case Number: 11-mj-8189-02-DJW	
		Defendant	(11-mj-8189-02-DJW)	
equ	In a iire tl	ne detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.	(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts • Findings of Fact	
	(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal offense) or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that			
		a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 31	56(a)(4).	
		$\square$ an offense for which the maximum sentence is li	fe imprisonment or death.	
		an offense for which a maximum term of impris	onment of ten years or more is prescribed in	
		a felony that was committed after the defendant U.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 e or local offenses.	
]	(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed v offense.	ffense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local e.	
]	(3)	A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding (1).		
]	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. Alternative Findings (A)		
]	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant	has committed an offense	
		$\Box$ for which a maximum term of imprisonment of the second secon	en years or more is prescribed in	
		□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		
]	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption estable reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as Altern	lished by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will required and the safety of the community. <b>Exactive Findings (B)</b>	
]	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not app	ear.	
]	(2)	) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.		

preponderance of the evidence) that

Based on the factors set out in 18 U.S.C. §3142(g), the Court finds that defendant is both a flight risk and a danger to the community and is therefore ordered to remain detained pending further hearing.

**Part III - Directions Regarding Detention** The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: November 3, 2011

s/ David J. Waxse Signature of Judicial Officer

DAVID J. WAXSE, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Name and Title of Judicial Officer

\*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).