## **United States District Court**

DISTRICT OF KANSAS

## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

		V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
		AKBA LEWIS  Defendant	Case Number: 11-mj-8103-01-DJW	
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following fact require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.  Part I - Findings of Fact				
	(1)	1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal offense or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) the		
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).			§ 3156(a)(4).	
$\square$ an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.		e is life imprisonment or death.		
		an offense for which a maximum term of in	nprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in	
		a felony that was committed after the defen U.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable	dant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 state or local offenses.	
	(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.		
	(3)	A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding (1).		
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.  Alternative Findings (A)		
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defen		
		for which a maximum term of imprisonmer	nt of ten years or more is prescribed in	
		under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.  Alternative Findings (B)		
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will no	t appear.	
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.		danger the safety of another person or the community.		
pre	I fir ponde	Part II - Writte d that the credible testimony and information sub trance of the evidence) that	n Statement of Reasons for Detention mitted at the hearing establishes by (clear and convincing evidence) (a	
		nt does not contest detention and waives his right further hearing.	to a detention hearing. The Court therefore orders defendant to remain detained	
def Sta	ility s endar tes or	defendant is committed to the custody of the Atte eparate, to the extent practicable, from persons ave t shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for p	Directions Regarding Detention orney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections vaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The rivate consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United e person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the connection with a court proceeding.	
Dated: July 25, 2011  s/ David J. Waxse Signature of Judicial Officer			s/ David J. Waxse Signature of Judicial Officer	
			DAVID J. WAXSE, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE  Name and Title of Judicial Officer	