AO 472 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial

United States District Court

DISTRICT OF KANSAS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

SERGIO A. APARICIO

Defendant

٧.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Case Number: 11-20006-01-CM-DJW

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.

Part I - Findings of Fact

- \Box (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal offense) (state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is
 - \Box a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).
 - an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.
 - \Box an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in .
 - \square a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.
- \Box (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.
- \square (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding (1).
- (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. Alternative Findings (A)
- \Box (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense
 - for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in _
 - under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).
- (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.
 Alternative Findings (B)
- \Box (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
- \Box (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.

Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by (clear and convincing evidence) (a preponderance of the evidence) that

(See attached page)

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: February 3, 2011

s/ David J. Waxse Signature of Judicial Officer

0 0 00

DAVID J. WAXSE, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Name and Title of Judicial Officer

*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

There are a series of factors set out in 18 U.S.C. 3142(g) that I have to look at to determine whether you should be released or detained.

The first factor is the nature and circumstances of the offense charged, including whether it is a crime of violence or involves a firearm. It does involve firearms which by case law means it is a crime of violence, so that is a negative.

The next factor is the weight of the evidence. There is a Grand Jury Indictment and apparently a confession, so that is a negative factor.

The next factor is the person's character, physical and mental condition, and family ties. Those appear to be positive, although not clearly confirmed.

Employment is negative at the moment.

The next factor is financial resources. There is no indication that there are substantial resources that would assist you in fleeing, so that is a positive.

Length of residence in the community is positive.

Past conduct is a problem, which includes history relating to drug or alcohol abuse, criminal history, and record concerning appearances at court proceedings. There are obviously some failures to appear.

The next factor is the biggest problem and that is whether at the time of the current offense or arrest the person was on probation, parole, or other release. Clearly you were as you have admitted.

The final factor is the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person in the community that would be posed by your release.

Even though it is a close call, I think you should remain detained because when you have been released in the past, both on the state felony case and on the municipal case, you have not complied fully with the conditions. I have to find not only that there are conditions but determine that I think you will comply with conditions, and that is what is missing here based on your prior behavior. Based on all these factors, you will remain detained.