

# United States District Court

## DISTRICT OF KANSAS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

**ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL**STEVEN L. QUINN*Defendant*

Case Number: 10-20129-02-KHV-DJW

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.

**Part I - Findings of Fact**

- ☐ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal offense) (state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is
- ☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).
- ☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.
- ☐ an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.
- ☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.
- ☐ (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding (1).
- ☐ (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.

**Alternative Findings (A)**

- ☐ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense
- ☐ for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).
- ☐ (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

**Alternative Findings (B)**

- ☐ (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
- ☐ (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
- \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_

**Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention**

I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by (clear and convincing evidence) (a preponderance of the evidence) that

(See attached pages)

\_\_\_\_\_

**Part III - Directions Regarding Detention**

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: October 25, 2010

s/ David J. Waxse*Signature of Judicial Officer*DAVID J. WAXSE, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE*Name and Title of Judicial Officer*

## **Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention**

There are a series of factors I have to look at in determining whether there are conditions of release that will assure your appearance and the safety of the community.

The first factor is the nature and circumstances of the offense charged, including whether it involves a controlled substance and/or a firearm. Those are present in the conspiracy, so that would be a negative factor.

The next factor is the weight of the evidence. At this point there has only been a Grand Jury determination of probable cause, but that is a negative factor in terms of your situation on release.

The next factor is your character, physical and mental condition, family ties, and employment. Those appear to be positive.

The next factor is financial resources. There is no indication that you have substantial resources that would assist you in fleeing, so that is positive.

Length of residence in the community and community ties are positive.

The next factor is past conduct, which includes history relating to drug or alcohol abuse, criminal history, and record concerning appearance at court proceedings. Your past conduct is not good, so that is a negative factor.

The next factor is whether at the time of the current offense you were on probation, parole, or other release. It appears that is a problem.

The final factor is the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person in the community that would be posed by your release. The allegations are that you have had prior contact with federal court and know fully what the consequences are of your actions, but are alleged to have ignored those consequences and proceeded to engage in further violations of the law. I have no reason to believe that any conditions I would

set, even including house arrest or a half-way house, would be sufficient to protect the community since you have ignored prior efforts of the legal system to protect the community.

For all of those reasons you will remain detained.