United States District Court

DISTRICT OF KANSAS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

		V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
		TEREZ T. WARREN Defendant	Case Number: 10-20125-01-KHV-DJW
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. Part I - Findings of Fact			
(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal		The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 or local offense that would have been a federal offense if	B U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal offense) (state f a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is
		a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a	a)(4).
		an offense for which the maximum sentence is life i	mprisonment or death.
		an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonm	nent of ten years or more is prescribed in
		a felony that was committed after the defendant had U.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or	been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 local offenses.
	(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while offense.	e the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local
	(3)	A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	e (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for
	(4)	assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the communi	imption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably ity. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. ve Findings (A)
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has	committed an offense
		for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten	years or more is prescribed in
		under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption establish reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as req Alternati	ned by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will uired and the safety of the community. ve Findings (B)
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	
	(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger th	ne safety of another person or the community.
pre	I fir ponde	Part II - Written Staten and that the credible testimony and information submitted a erance of the evidence) that	nent of Reasons for Detention t the hearing establishes by (clear and convincing evidence) (a
<u>Defendant waived a detention hearing at this time because there is an outstanding bench warrant against him.</u> The Court therefore order defendant to remain detained pending further hearing.			
faci def Sta	The ility sendar	Part III - Direction defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney Gereparate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting out shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private co	ns Regarding Detention eneral or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The insultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the ion with a court proceeding.
Dated: September 21, 2010			s/ David J. Waxse Signature of Judicial Officer
			DAVID J. WAXSE, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE