United States District Court

DISTRICT OF KANSAS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

		٧.	ORDER OF DETERMINING TRIAL
		CAMEO C. GILES Defendant	Case Number: 10-20076-02-KHV-JPO
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. Part I - Findings of Fact			
□ (1)		The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U	S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal offense) (state circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is
		a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).
		an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imp	orisonment or death.
		an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonmer	nt of ten years or more is prescribed in
		a felony that was committed after the defendant had be U.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local committed after the defendant had be u.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local committed after the defendant had be u.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local committed after the defendant had be u.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local committed after the defendant had be u.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local committed after the defendant had be u.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local committed after the defendant had be u.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local committed after the defendant had be u.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local committed after the defendant had be u.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local committed after the defendant had be u.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local committed after the defendant had be u.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local committed after the defendant had be u.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local committed after the defendant had be u.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local committed after the defendant had be u.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local committed after the defendant had be u.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local committed after the defendant had be u.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local committed after the defendant had be u.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local committed after the defendant had be u.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local committed after the defendant had be u.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local committed after the defendant had be u.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local committed after the defendant had be u.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local committed after the defendant had	een convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 cal offenses.
	(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while toffense.	he defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local
	(3)	A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for
	(4)	assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community.	ption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. Findings (A)
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has co	ommitted an offense
		for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten year under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	ars or more is prescribed in
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will ed and the safety of the community. Findings (B)
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	-
	(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the	safety of another person or the community.
Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by (clear and convincing evidence) (a preponderance of the evidence) that			
Defendant waived a detention hearing. The Court therefore orders defendant to remain detained pending further hearing.			
Part III - Directions Regarding Detention The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.			
Dated: June 17, 2010			s/ David J. Waxse Signature of Judicial Officer
			DAVID J. WAXSE, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Name and Title of Judicial Officer