United States District Court

DISTRICT OF KANSAS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

٧.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Case Number: 08-20086-01-KHV-DJW

GUILLERMO FELIX-GAMEZ	
O O I D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	

Defendant

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.

1			Part I - Fir	ndings of Fact			
	(1)	The or lo	defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 to ocal offense that would have been a federal offense if a	J.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal offense) (state circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is			
			a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)	(4).			
			an offense for which the maximum sentence is life im	prisonment or death.			
			an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonme	nt of ten years or more is prescribed in			
			a felony that was committed after the defendant had bus.c. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or lo	been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 local offenses.			
	(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or offense.					
	(3)	A po	A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding (1).				
	(4)	Find assu	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably ssure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. Alternative Findings (A)				
	(1)	The	re is probable cause to believe that the defendant has c	ommitted an offense			
			for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten year	ears or more is prescribed in			
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).				
	(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions wil reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community. **Alternative Findings** (B)						
	(1)	The	ere is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.				
	(2)	The	ere is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the	safety of another person or the community.			
			-				
prep	I fin	d tha	Part II - Written Statement the credible testimony and information submitted at the e of the evidence) that	ent of Reasons for Detention the hearing establishes by (clear and convincing evidence) (a			
Def	enda	ıt wa	ived a detention hearing because an I.C.E. detainer has	been filed against him. The Court therefore orders defendant to remain			
			stody pending further hearing.				
defe Stat	lity s endan es or	epara t sha on re	andant is committed to the custody of the Attorney Gen	s Regarding Detention eral or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The sultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the n with a court proceeding.			
Dated: July 21, 2008 s/				s/ David J. Waxse			
	•		,	s/ David J. Waxse Signature of Judicial Officer			
				DAVID J. WAXSE, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Name and Title of Judicial Officer			