United States District Court

DISTRICT OF KANSAS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

		RODNEY R. ROGERS Defendant	ase Number: 08-20065-01-JWL-JPO	
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. Part I - Findings of Fact				
	(1)		.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal offense) (state reumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is	
		a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4)		
an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.				
	an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in			
an oriense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of the years of more is presented in				
		a felony that was committed after the defendant had been U.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local	n convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 l offenses.	
	(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the offense.	e offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local ense.	
	(3)	A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (dathe offense described in finding (1).	eriod of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for offense described in finding (1).	
	(4)	adings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably sure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. Alternative Findings (A)		
	(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense			
		for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years	s or more is prescribed in	
		under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established b reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as requirec	defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will onably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community. Alternative Findings (B)	
	(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.			
	(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the sa	fety of another person or the community.	
Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by (clear and convincing evidence) (a preponderance of the evidence) that Defendant waived a detention hearing. The Court therefore orders defendant to remain detained in custody pending further hearing.				
percentant warred a detention nearing. The court increase orders derendant to remain detained in easted pending rutalet nearing.				
Part III - Directions Regarding Detention The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.				
Date	ed: J	June 16, 2008 <u>s</u>	David J. Waxse	
			Signature of Judicial Officer	
		<u>D</u>	AVID J. WAXSE, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
			Name and Title of Judicial Officer	