United States District Court

DISTRICT OF KANSAS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

		V.	ORDER OF	DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
		TONI MALTBIA Defendant	Case Number:	08-20038-01-CM-DJW	
req	In a uire t	ne detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.	ance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts ention of the defendant pending trial in this case. Part I - Findings of Fact		
	(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted o or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdicti			and has been convicted of a (federal offense) (state ng rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is	
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).					
		an offense for which the maximum sentence is lif	e imprisonment or deat	h.	
		an offense for which a maximum term of imprisor	nment of ten years or n	nore is prescribed in	
		a felony that was committed after the defendant h U.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	ad been convicted of two local offenses.	wo or more prior federal offenses described in 18	
	(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed wooffense.	he offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local fense.		
	(3)	eriod of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for offense described in finding (1).			
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable preasure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the communication Alternative (Alternative Control of	esumption that no conductive. I further find that tive Findings (A)	ition or combination of conditions will reasonably t the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.	
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant h	as committed an offens	se	
		for which a maximum term of imprisonment of te	n years or more is pres	cribed in	
		under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).			
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption estable reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as reasonable assure that the control of the defendant has reasonable as the control of the defendant has not rebutted the presumption estable reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as reasonable as the control of the defendant has not rebutted the presumption estable reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as reasonable as the control of the control of the defendant as the control of the defendant as the control of the control of the control of the defendant as the control of the co	shed by finding 1 that equired and the safety ative Findings (B)	no condition or combination of conditions will of the community.	
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear	ar.		
	(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger	the safety of another p	person or the community.	
pre	I fir ponde	Part II - Written Stat and that the credible testimony and information submitted trance of the evidence) that	ement of Reasons for at the hearing establis	Detention hes by (clear and convincing evidence) (a	
	<u>Defendant waived a detention hearing because there are detainers against her. The Court therefore orders defendant to remain detained pending further hearing.</u>				
faci def Sta	The ility sendar		or serving sentences of consultation with defer on in charge of the corre	ed representative for confinement in a corrections or being held in custody pending appeal. The use counsel. On order of a court of the United ections facility shall deliver the defendant to the	
Dated: June 11, 2008			s/ David J. Waxse Signature	of Judicial Officer	
			DAVID J. WAXS	SE, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE	