# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS

JERMANE D. LOWE,

Petitioner,

v.

CASE NO. 07-3130-SAC

LOUIS BRUCE, et al.,

## Respondents.

#### ORDER

This petition for writ of habeas corpus, 28 U.S.C. 2254, was filed by an inmate of the Hutchinson Correctional Facility, Hutchinson, Kansas. Petitioner has paid the filing fee of \$5.00.

Petitioner seeks to challenge his jury conviction in the District Court of Sedgwick County, Kansas, of felony murder and criminal discharge of a firearm. He was sentenced on March 29, 2002, to consecutive terms of life and 88 months. The Kansas Supreme Court affirmed his conviction on December 19, 2003. State v. Lowe, 276 Kan. 957, 80 P.3d 1156 (Kan. 2003).

In September, 2004, petitioner filed a petition for post-conviction relief under K.S.A. 60-1507, which was denied. The denial was affirmed on appeal by the Kansas Court of Appeals on October 6, 2006. <u>Lowe v. State of Kansas</u>, 143 P.3d 421, 2006 WL 2864741 (Kan.App. Oct. 6, ). A Petition for Review was denied on February 13, 2007.

As grounds for his Petition, Mr. Lowe claims (1) evidence of gang affiliation was improperly admitted at trial, (2) the court erred in failing to give an instruction relating to informant testimony, (3) there was no evidence of guilt, (4) cumulative error denied him a fair trial, and (5)(6) "State's position" that the

issue of gang evidence was waived due to defense counsel's failure to object at trial is either erroneous or evidence of ineffective assistance of counsel.

Petitioner alleges and it appears that he has exhausted state court remedies the claims raised in the Petition.

Having examined the materials filed in this case, the court finds:

- 1. Petitioner is presently a prisoner in the custody of the State of Kansas; and
- 2. petitioner demands his release from such custody, and as grounds therefore alleges that he is being deprived of his liberty in violation of his rights under the Constitution of the United States, and he claims that he has exhausted all remedies afforded by the courts of the State of Kansas.

The court concludes a response to the Petition is required.

#### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

- 1. Respondents herein are hereby required to show cause within twenty (20) days from the date of this order why the writ should not be granted.
  - 2. The response should present:
    - (a) the necessity for an evidentiary hearing on each of the grounds alleged in petitioner's pleadings; and
    - (b) an analysis of each of said grounds and any cases and supporting documents relied upon by respondents in opposition to the same.
- 3. Respondents shall cause to be forwarded to this court for examination and review the following:

the records and transcripts, if available, of the criminal proceedings complained of by petitioner, if a direct appeal of the judgment and sentence of the trial court was taken by petitioner, respondents shall furnish the records, or copies thereof, of the appeal proceedings. Upon termination of the proceedings herein, the clerk of this court will return to the clerk of the proper state court all such state court records and transcripts.

- 4. The petitioner is granted ten (10) days after receipt by him of a copy of the respondents' answer and return to file a traverse thereto, admitting or denying under oath all factual allegations therein contained.
- 5. The clerk of this court then return this file to the undersigned judge for such other and further proceedings as may be appropriate; and that the clerk of this court transmit copies of this order to petitioner and to the office of the Attorney General for the State of Kansas.

### IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 24th day of May, 2007, at Topeka, Kansas.

s/Sam A. Crow
U. S. Senior District Judge