United States District Court

DISTRICT OF KANSAS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

| | | V. | ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL |
|---|------------------|---|---|
| | | JEREMY JACOBS Defendant | Case Number: 07-20167-17-KHV-DJW |
| In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. Part I - Findings of Fact | | | |
| | (1) | The defendant is charged with an offense described in or local offense that would have been a federal offense | 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal offense) (state of a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is |
| | | a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 315 | 6(a)(4). |
| | | an offense for which the maximum sentence is life | e imprisonment or death. |
| | | an offense for which a maximum term of imprisor | nment of ten years or more is prescribed in |
| | | a felony that was committed after the defendant h U.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state of | and been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 or local offenses. |
| | (2) | The offense described in finding (1) was committed whoffense. | hile the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local |
| | (3) | A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1). | the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for |
| | (4) | Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. Alternative Findings (A) | |
| | (1) | There is probable cause to believe that the defendant h | |
| | | for which a maximum term of imprisonment of te | en years or more is prescribed in |
| | | under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). | |
| | (2) | The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community. Alternative Findings (B) | |
| X | (1) | There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear | ar. |
| | (2) | There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger | the safety of another person or the community. |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by (clear and convincing evidence) (a preponderance of the evidence) that | | | |
| Based on the proffers and Pretrial Services Report, the Court finds that there are no conditions of release that will reasonably assure the | | | |
| | earar ring. | ce of the defendant and the safety of any person in the co | mmunity. Defendant is therefore ordered to remain detained pending further |
| faci defe Star | The ility sendar | defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney (eparate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting it shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private | tions Regarding Detention General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United on in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the ction with a court proceeding. |
| Dated: February 27, 2008 | | | s/ David J. Waxse Signature of Judicial Officer |
| | | | DAVID J. WAXSE, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE |
| | | | Name and Title of Judicial Officer |