United States District Court

DISTRICT OF KANSAS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

		V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
		WAYNE FITTS, JR. Defendant	Case Number: 07-20164-04-JWL-DJW
req	In a uire t	he detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.	a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts ndings of Fact
(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and h or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise		The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 or local offense that would have been a federal offense if	U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal offense) (state a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is
		a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a))(4).
		an offense for which the maximum sentence is life in	nprisonment or death.
		an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonme	ent of ten years or more is prescribed in
		a felony that was committed after the defendant had U.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or l	been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 ocal offenses.
	(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while offense.	the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local
	(3)	A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the the offense described in finding (1).	e (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for
	(4)	assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the communit	mption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably y. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. e Findings (A)
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has of	committed an offense
		for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten y	ears or more is prescribed in
		under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required Alternative	ed by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will ired and the safety of the community. e Findings (B)
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	
	(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the	e safety of another person or the community.
Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by (clear and convincing evidence) (a preponderance of the evidence) that			
<u>Defendant waived a detention hearing because he is currently incarcerated in another case.</u> The Court therefore orders defendant to remadetained pending further hearing.			
fac: def Sta	The ility sendar	Part III - Direction e defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney Ger separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or nt shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private con	as Regarding Detention neral or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The isultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the on with a court proceeding.
Dated: January 28, 2008			s/ David J. Waxse Signature of Judicial Officer
			DAVID J. WAXSE, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE