United States District Court

DISTRICT OF KANSAS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

		••	OND EN OI		
		KEVIN FUNK Defendant	Case Number:	07-20164-03-JWL-DJW	
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.					
Part I - Findings of Fact (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (feder					
_	(1)	or local offense that would have been a federal offense if	a circumstance giv	ing rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is	
		a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a			
		an offense for which the maximum sentence is life in	•		
		an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonm	nent of ten years or	more is prescribed in	
		a felony that was committed after the defendant had U.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or	been convicted of local offenses.	two or more prior federal offenses described in 18	
	(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while offense.	e the defendant was	on release pending trial for a federal, state or local	
	(3)	A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	e (date of convictio	n) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for	
	(4)	(4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. Alternative Findings (A)			
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has	committed an offer	ise	
		for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten y	years or more is pre	scribed in	
		under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).			
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption establish reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as requestional Alternative control of the defendant as requestional control of the defendant as requestional control of the defendant has not rebutted the presumption establish reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant has not rebutted the presumption establish reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as requestion as the defendant has not rebutted the presumption establish reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as requestion as the defendant has not rebutted the presumption of the defendant as requestion as the defendant as requestions.	ed by finding 1 that uired and the safety ve Findings (B)	no condition or combination of conditions will of the community.	
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.			
	(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger th	ne safety of another	person or the community.	
Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by (clear and convincing evidence) (a preponderance of the evidence) that					
Defendant waived a detention hearing because he is currently incarcerated in another case. The Court therefore orders defendant to remain					
deta	ined	pending further hearing.			
defe	lity s endar tes or	Part III - Direction of defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney Ge eparate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting out shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private colon request of an attorney for the Government, the person it tates marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection	eneral or his designar r serving sentences nsultation with defe in charge of the cor	ted representative for confinement in a corrections or being held in custody pending appeal. The ense counsel. On order of a court of the United rections facility shall deliver the defendant to the	
Dated: January 28, 2008 s/ David				se e of Judicial Officer	
			DAVID J. WAX	SE, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE	