United States District Court

DISTRICT OF KANSAS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

		••	JILDEN OI		
		LESLIE H. HARRIS Defendant	Case Number:	07-20118-01-JWL-DJW	
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the follow require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. Part I - Findings of Fact					
	(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal offense or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) the				
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).				
\square an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.			th.		
		an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment	-	nore is prescribed in	
		a felony that was committed after the defendant had bee U.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local	n convicted of t	wo or more prior federal offenses described in 18	
	(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the offense.	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local ffense.		
	(3)	A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding (1).			
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. Alternative Findings (A)			
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has com-	nmitted an offen	se	
		for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years	s or more is pres	scribed in	
		under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).			
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established be reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required Alternative F	by finding 1 that d and the safety indings (B)	no condition or combination of conditions will of the community.	
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.			
	(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the sa	fety of another	person or the community.	
Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by (clear and convincing evidence) (a preponderance of the evidence) that					
Def	Defendant waived a detention hearing. The Court therefore orders defendant to remain detained pending further hearing.				
Part III - Directions Regarding Detention The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.					
Dat	ed: A	August 24, 2007 <u>s</u>	/ David J. Waxs	e e of Judicial Officer	
		<u> </u>	_	SE, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE 11 Title of Judicial Officer	