## **United States District Court**

DISTRICT OF KANSAS

## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

		٧.	ORDER OF DETENTION LENDING TRIAL	
		SCOTT WALKER  Defendant	Case Number: 07-20086-03-JWL-DJW	
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following face require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.				
Part I - Findings of Fact				
(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to		The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a	J.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal offense) (state circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is	
		a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(		
		an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imp	•	
		an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonmer	nt of ten years or more is prescribed in	
		a felony that was committed after the defendant had be U.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or lo	een convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 cal offenses.	
	(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while toffense.	the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local	
	(3)	A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding (1).		
	(4)	assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community	ption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. <b>Findings (A)</b>	
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has co		
		for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten year under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	ars or more is prescribed in	
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will onably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.  Alternative Findings (B)	
X	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.		
	(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the	safety of another person or the community.	
Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention  I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by (clear and convincing evidence) (a preponderance of the evidence) that				
		(See atta	ched pages)	
Part III - Directions Regarding Detention  The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.				
Dated: July 30, 2007			s/ David J. Waxse Signature of Judicial Officer	
			DAVID J. WAXSE, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE  Name and Title of Judicial Officer	

## Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

There are a series of factors I have to consider in determining whether there are conditions that would determine that you can be assured to reappear here if released, and whether that will take into account the safety of the community.

The first is the nature and circumstances of the offense charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence or a controlled substance offense. You have both of those because the firearms are considered crimes of violence, so those are negatives.

The next factor is the weight of the evidence. There has been a Grand Jury determination of probable cause, so that is a negative.

The next factor is your character, physical and mental condition. There is nothing in the report or the proffers that would cause a problem with that.

The next factor is your family ties. There is no indication that you have any real family ties here in the community.

Employment is a positive.

The next factor is your financial resources. There is no indication that you have substantial resources that would assist you in fleeing, so that is a positive.

Your length of residence in the community and your community ties are positive.

Your past conduct, which includes history relating to drug or alcohol abuse, criminal history, and record concerning appearance at court proceedings is a problem. On three different occasions you were released on conditions and violated those conditions and had to be rearrested. That does not include the fact that you have numerous convictions.

The next factor is whether at the time of the current offense you were on probation or parole, and it appears that you were.

Finally is the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person in the community that would be posed by your release. Obviously if you have in fact been selling methamphetamine, that is a terrible danger to the community.

Considering all those factors, you will remain detained pending further hearing.