United States District Court

DISTRICT OF KANSAS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

		WILLIAM A. SHAW, JR. Case Number: 07-20036-01-CM-DJW	
		Defendant	
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following fact require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. Part I - Findings of Fact			
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal offense) (state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is	
		a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).	
		an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.	
		an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in	
		a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.	
	(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.	
	(3)	A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding (1).	
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. Alternative Findings (A)	
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense	
		for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in	
		under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community. Alternative Findings (B)	
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	
×	(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.	
Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by (clear and convincing evidence) (a preponderance of the evidence) that			
(See ttached page)			
Part III - Directions Regarding Detention The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.			
Dated: December 3, 2007 s/ David J. Waxse			
		Signature of Judicial Officer	

DAVID J. WAXSE, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
Name and Title of Judicial Officer

Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

The statute has a series of factors I have to look at to determine whether you should be released or detained.

The first factor is the nature and circumstances of the offense charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence or involves a controlled substance. It certainly involves a controlled substance and a firearm, so those factors would weigh against you.

The next factor is the weight of the evidence. There has been a Grand Jury Indictment which is a probable cause determination by the Grand Jury, which would be a factor that weighs against you.

The next factor is your person or characteristics, which includes your physical and mental condition, family ties, employment, financial resources, length of residence in the community, community ties, past conduct, history relating to drug or alcohol abuse, criminal history, and record concerning court proceedings. Although a couple of those are positive, the majority of them are negative.

The next factor is whether at the time of the current offense or arrest you were on probation, parole, or other release. Based on the information I have been provided, you did abscond from the area at the time of your Indictment.

The final factor is the nature and seriousness of the danger to the person or community that would be posed by your release. Based on the Indictment, there are numerous charges of selling or distributing various narcotic substances to the community.

Based on all those factors I find that you need to be detained. The presumption of detention set out by the statute has not been rebutted by the information you have provided me.