## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF KANSAS

United States of America,

Plaintiff.

Case No. 07-20018-07-JWL

Aaron Jackson,

v.

Defendant.

## **MEMORANDUM & ORDER**

In November 2015, defendant Aaron Jackson filed a motion to reduce his sentence under Amendment 782. The court held that it had no jurisdiction to reduce Mr. Jackson's sentence because Mr. Jackson remained subject to a 10-year mandatory minimum sentence regardless of the application of Amendment 782. *See United States v. Woods*, 598 Fed. Appx. 567, 568-69 (10th Cir. 2015) (regardless of amendment's effect on underlying offense level, defendant is not eligible for a reduction under amendment beyond mandatory minimum absent statutory authority). Nearly six months later, Mr. Jackson has now filed a "renewed" motion for a sentence reduction in which he asks the court "to determine if any new minimum statutory sentence would allow for the two-point reduction" under Amendment 782. Mr. Jackson remains subject to a 10-year mandatory minimum sentence and, accordingly, the court is not authorized to reduce his sentence. *See* 21 U.S.C. § 841(b)(1)(A). For the reasons more fully explained in the court's January 20, 2016 memorandum and order, Mr. Jackson's motion is dismissed.

	THE COURT THAT Mr. Jackson's renewed
motion to reduce sentence (doc. 432) is dismisse	ed for lack of jurisdiction.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Dated this 30 <sup>th</sup> day of June, 2016, at Kansas City, Kansas.	
	s/ John W. Lungstrum
	John W. Lungstrum
	United States District Judge