United States District Court

DISTRICT OF KANSAS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

		*.	ORDER OF		
		LELAND ROEBUCK Defendant	Case Number:	07-20018-05-JWL-DJW	
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. Part I - Findings of Fact					
	(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal offense) or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that				
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).				
		an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imp	orisonment or dea	th.	
		an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonmen	-	more is prescribed in	
		a felony that was committed after the defendant had be U.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or loc	en convicted of t	wo or more prior federal offenses described in 18	
	(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the offense.	offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local ase.		
	(3)	A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (the offense described in finding (1).	eriod of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for offense described in finding (1).		
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumpassure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. Alternative	lings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably re the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. Alternative Findings (A)		
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has co	mmitted an offen	se	
		for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten year	rs or more is pre	scribed in	
		under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).			
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as requir Alternative	by finding 1 that ed and the safety Findings (B)	no condition or combination of conditions will of the community.	
\boxtimes	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.			
×	(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the s	safety of another	person or the community.	
Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by (clear and convincing evidence) (a preponderance of the evidence) that					
		(See atta	ched page)		
Part III - Directions Regarding Detention The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.					
Dated: June 17, 2008			s/ David J. Waxs	e	
	Signature of Judicial Officer				
			DAVID J. WAX Name an	SE, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE d Title of Judicial Officer	

Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

Mr. Roebuck, the problem in your situation is this presumption. What that means legally is when you get indicted by a Grand Jury for these kind of crimes, the burden is on you to show why you should be released as opposed to the burden being on the government. You have to rebut the presumption. Based on the facts that you have agreed are correct in this Pretrial Services Report, I don't think the plan you have presented rebuts that presumption so you are going to have to remain detained. I think one of the biggest problems you've got is there aren't a lot of serious charges. but there certainly are a lot of failures to appear, which is something that I have to consider when you are released, so I am not going to release you.