United States District Court

DISTRICT OF KANSAS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

| | | | ٧. | ORDER OF DETENTION LENDING TRIAL | |
|---|--|--------------|---|---|--|
| | | | SCOTT A. GRIFFIN Defendant | Case Number: 07-M-8007-01-DJW | |
| In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following fac require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. Part I - Findings of Fact | | | | | |
| | (1) | The | ne defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal offense) (state local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is | | |
| | | | a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a) | (4). | |
| | | | an offense for which the maximum sentence is life im | | |
| | | | | nt of ten years or more is prescribed in | |
| | | | a felony that was committed after the defendant had b U.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or lo | neen convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 ocal offenses. | |
| | (2) | The offe | offense described in finding (1) was committed while ense. | the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local | |
| | (3) | A p | eriod of not more than five years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1). | (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for | |
| | (4) | Fine assu | Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. Alternative Findings (A) | | |
| (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense | | | re is probable cause to believe that the defendant has co | ommitted an offense | |
| | | | for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten ye | ears or more is prescribed in | |
| | | | under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). | | |
| | (2) | The | defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will onably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community. Alternative Findings (B) | | |
| | (1) | The | re is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear. | | |
| | (2) | The | re is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the | safety of another person or the community. | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by (clear and convincing evidence) (a preponderance of the evidence) that (See attached pages) | | | | | |
| Dowt III Divertions Describes Detention | | | | | |
| defe Stat | Part III - Directions Regarding Detention The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. | | | | |
| Dated: January 30, 2007 | | | | s/ David J. Waxse | |
| | | | | Signature of Judicial Officer | |
| | | | | DAVID J. WAXSE, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Name and Title of Judicial Officer | |

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

Based on what has been presented, there are not conditions that would satisfactorily assure your appearance and protect the safety of the community.

The only reason the halfway house would be considered is if we had verifiable employment. Ms. Dyer was unable to find it and your current counsel has been unable to confirm it, so at this point in time there is no reason to consider a halfway house placement.

For the reasons of your prior record and your prior problems while released on probation, parole, or bond, you will remain in custody.