AO 472 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial

United States District Court

DISTRICT OF KANSAS

	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		
	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	ERIC DANIELS Defendant	Case Number: 06-M-8004-01-DJW	
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following fact require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. Part I - Findings of Fact			
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § $3142(f)(1)$ and has been convicted of a (federal offense) (state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § $3156(a)(4)$.		
	\Box an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.		
	an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in		
	□ a felony that was committed after the defendant had b U.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or b	been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 ocal offenses.	
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.		
(3)	A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding (1).		
(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. Alternative Findings (A)		
(1)	here is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense		
		ears or more is prescribed in	
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		
(2)	reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as requ	ed by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will nired and the safety of the community. The Findings (B)	
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.		
(2)	here is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.		

Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by (clear and convincing evidence) (a preponderance of the evidence) that

(See attached page)

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: January 23, 2006

s/ David J. Waxse

DAVID J. WAXSE, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Name and Title of Judicial Officer

*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 *et seq.*); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 *et seq.*); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

U.S.A. v. Eric Daniels Criminal Action 06-M-8004-01-DJW

Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

Mr. Daniels, you've heard some of the factors, but the key factor here is that there is a presumption for detention when charged as you are charged. The proffer from the government increases the presumption of why you should be detained. The proffer that your counsel has made is not sufficient to overcome the presumption, and therefore you are going to remain detained pending further hearing.