IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS

SETH H. HARRIS, SR.,

Plaintiff,

v.

CASE NO. 06-3202-SAC

DUKE TERRELL, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

This matter is before the court on a <u>Bivens</u>¹ complaint filed pro se by a prisoner confined in the United States Penitentiary in Leavenworth, Kansas (USPLVN).

The Prison Litigation Reform Act (PLRA), effective April 26, 1996, mandates that "[n]o action shall be brought with respect to prison conditions under section 1983 of this title, or any other Federal law, by a prisoner confined in any jail, prison, or other correctional facility until such administrative remedies as are available are exhausted." 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a). See also, Booth v. Churner, 531 U.S. 956 (2001)(Section 1997e(a), as amended by PLRA, requires prisoners to exhaust administrative remedies irrespective of the relief sought and offered through administrative channels).

In the present case, plaintiff seeks damages for being subjected to safety and sanitation hazards at USPLVN. Although

 $^{^{1}}$ Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics, 403 U.S. 388 (1971).

plaintiff states he fully exhausted administrative remedies on these

claims, he documents only a completed administrative appeal through

the regional level. Plaintiff documents his submission of an appeal

to the BOP central office on June 30, 2006, but provides no copy of

the response received in that appeal. This is insufficient to

demonstrate full exhaustion of administrative remedies. See Steele

v. Federal Bureau of Prisons, 355 F.3d 1204, 1210 (10th Cir.

2003)(pleading requirement imposed by 1997e(a) requires a prisoner

to attach a copy of applicable administrative dispositions to the

complaint, or to "describe with specificity the administrative

proceeding and its outcome"), cert. denied 543 U.S. 925 (2004). The

court thus grants plaintiff an opportunity to demonstrate full

exhaustion of administrative remedies.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that plaintiff is granted twenty (20)

days to supplement the complaint to avoid dismissal of this action

pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: This 1st day of August 2006 at Topeka, Kansas.

s/ Sam C. Crow

SAM A. CROW

U.S. Senior District Judge

2