United States District Court

DISTRICT OF KANSAS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

		V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
		BARRY L. JAMES Defendant	Case Number: 06-20167-01-CM-DJW
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the forequire the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. Part I - Findings of Fact			
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a	U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal offense) (state a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is
		a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)	0(4).
		an offense for which the maximum sentence is life in	nprisonment or death.
		an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonme	ent of ten years or more is prescribed in
		a felony that was committed after the defendant had by U.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or lo	been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 ocal offenses.
	(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while offense.	the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local
	(3)	A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the the offense described in finding (1).	(date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for
	(4)	assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community	nption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably y. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. e Findings (A)
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has c	
		for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten ye	ears or more is prescribed in
		under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption establishe reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required as the control of the defendant as	d by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will ired and the safety of the community. e Findings (B)
X	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	
	(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the	e safety of another person or the community.
Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by (clear and convincing evidence) (a preponderance of the evidence) that			
(Continued on following page)			
Part III - Directions Regarding Detention The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.			
Dated: May 9, 2007			s/ David J. Waxse Signature of Judicial Officer
			DAVID J. WAXSE, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Name and Title of Judicial Officer

*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

Mr. James, I have heard your attorney and the government's attorney and read this report, and there are two big problems that are going to require me to detain you.

The first is that every time a court tells you to do something you have an obligation to do it. If I counted right there have been ten times where a court has ordered you to appear and you did not appear, so that does not indicate that suddenly my telling you to do something is going to be followed.

The other bigger problem is that once you got the felony conviction and the domestic battery conviction the law is absolutely clear you were not to have guns. It appears, and I don't have to determine whether you did or didn't, it just appears that on two occasions at least you had guns when you shouldn't of had guns. I can't assume that you are going to comply with any conditions that I would set, so I'm going to keep you detained.

2