AO 472 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial

United States District Court DISTRICT OF KANSAS			
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			
	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	TOBY YOUNG Defendant	Case Number: 06-20119-01-JWL-DJW	
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. Part I - Findings of Fact			
□ (1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in or local offense that would have been a federal offense.	18 U.S.C. § $3142(f)(1)$ and has been convicted of a (federal offense) (state e if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is $56(a)(4)$.	
	\square an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.		
an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in			
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed w	had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 or local offenses. while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local	
	offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed since	e the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for	
<u> </u>	the offense described in finding (1).		
(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. Alternative Findings (A)		
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant h	has committed an offense	
	for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in		
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community. Alternative Findings (B)		
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appe	ear.	
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endange	r the safety of another person or the community.	
Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by (clear and convincing evidence) (a preponderance of the evidence) that			
Defendant waived a detention hearing because she is currently serving a sentence of imprisonment in another case. The Court therefore orders			
defendant to remain detained pending further hearing.			

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: October 10, 2006

s/ David J. Waxse Signature of Judicial Officer

DAVID J. WAXSE, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Name and Title of Judicial Officer

*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).