United States District Court

DISTRICT OF KANSAS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	RUDOLPH GEORGE Defendant	Case Number: 06-20038-01-CM-DJW	
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. Part I - Findings of Fact			
□ ₍₁₎			
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is life in	mprisonment or death.	
	an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonm	•	
	a felony that was committed after the defendant had U.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or	been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 local offenses.	
<u> </u>	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while offense.	e offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local rense.	
<u> </u>	A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	e (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for	
<u> </u>	dings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably ure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. Alternative Findings (A)		
<u> </u>	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has	committed an offense	
	for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten	years or more is prescribed in	
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		
(2)	reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as rec	defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will onably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community. Alternative Findings (B)	
<u>×</u> (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear		
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger to	he safety of another person or the community.	
Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by (clear and convincing evidence) (a preponderance of the evidence) that			
Defendant does not contest detention. The Court therefore orders defendant to remain detained pending further hearing.			
Part III - Directions Regarding Detention The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.			
Dated: June 2, 2006		s/ David J. Waxse Signature of Judicial Officer	

DAVID J. WAXSE, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Name and Title of Judicial Officer

*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).