



recommend that the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation remand to the original transferor courts the cases of Ingram v. Cessna Aircraft Co., D. Kan. No. 05-2531; Villanueva v. Cessna Aircraft Co., D. Kan. No. 05-2534, Morris v. Cessna Aircraft Co., D. Kan. No. 05-2533, Fry v. Cessna Aircraft Co., D. Kan. No. 06-2261, Allan v. Cessna Aircraft Co., D. Kan. No. 07-2120, and the cases consolidated as Jones v. Cessna Aircraft Co., D. Kan. No. 08-2273. On or before **November 13, 2009**, the parties shall further show good cause in writing why the Court should not dismiss P'yanina v. Cessna Aircraft Co., D. Kan. No. 08-2304 and Konovalova v. Cessna Aircraft Co., D. Kan. No. 09-2111, which plaintiffs originally filed in the Southern District of New York, as duplicative of the cases of P'yanina v. Cessna Aircraft Co., D. Kan. No. 07-1367 and Konovalova v. Cessna Aircraft Co., D. Kan. No. 07-1363, which plaintiffs filed in the District of Kansas.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated this 4th day of November, 2009 at Kansas City, Kansas.

s/ Kathryn H. Vratil  
KATHRYN H. VRATIL  
United States District Judge