## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.

vs.

Case No. 04-10081-01-JTM

CARL J. HARRIS,

Defendant.

## MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter is before the court on defendant Carl Harris's Motion to Vacate Sentence (Dkt. 58), arguing that his sentence was excessive in light of *Johnson v. United States*, 135 S.Ct. 2551 (2015), and for Appointment of Counsel (Dkt. 61). The government has responded by requesting a stay of the action, pending the Supreme Court's resolution of the appeal in *Beckles v. United States*, Docket No. 15-8544 (June 27, 2016), which will likely resolve the question of whether the reasoning of Johnson applies to the Sentencing Guidelines.

The defendant argues that a stay should not be granted in light of the Tenth Circuit's decision in *United States v. Madrid*, 805 F.3d 1204 (10th Cir. 2015). However, that court has itself stayed further proceedings in a case raising the same issue, *United States v. Rollins*, No.

15-1459 (10th Cir. July 5, 2015), and the court finds that this result is warranted in the present action as well.

IT IS ACCORDINGLY ORDERED this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of November, 2016, that for good cause shown, the action is hereby stayed, as provided herein.

<u>s/ J. Thomas Marten</u> J. THOMAS MARTEN, JUDGE